

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/606,051	06/25/2003	Josef Grotendorst	EDM1.CIP	3830
75	590 08/22/2005		EXAM	INER
ROBERT W. BECKER & ASSOCIATES			NOLAND, THOMAS	
Suite B 707 Highway 6	6 East		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tijeras, NM 8			2856	

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Assis a Comment	10/606,051	GROTENDORST	JOSEF				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thomas P. Noland	2856					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 June 2005</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>4-10</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
dee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/606,051 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of group 1, claims 1-10 in the reply filed on June 15, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the apparatus also requires the sampling and testing tool to be in the drilling pipe as in the method and thus the claims are not restrictable. It is also argued that both groups could be searched without serious burden because both classified in class 175. This is not found persuasive because of the reasons advanced in the original requirement. Clearly the apparatus claims do not specifically require the tool to be in the drilling pipe. It appears that a tool adjacent to the exterior of the pipe and connected to the interior of the pipe could be used. Since clearly the method requires at least this feature not specified in the apparatus searching therefore would be a burden.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 11-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on June 15, 2005.
- 3. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows:

An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence(s) of the specification or in an application data sheet by identifying the prior application by application number (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). If the prior application is a non-

Application/Control Number: 10/606,051

Art Unit: 2856

provisional application, the specific reference must also include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number.

In the amendment to page 5, lines 1-6 filed June 15, 2005 " 10,387,102 " should be - - - 10/187,102 - - -.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ward US 6,296,056.

Note abstract and Fig. 2.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ward.

 Jones does not disclose the use pressure storage and vacuum storage means but such would have been an obvious expedient to use since known equivalents to the use of a pump for inflating and deflating. Use of such would have been desirable to avoid the

Application/Control Number: 10/606,051 Page 4

Art Unit: 2856

use of electrical means typically associated with a pump in the potentially explosive

downhole environment.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. The cited references show the use of packers and/or sampling in

drilling boreholes.

9. Claims 4-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tom Noland whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2202. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Hezron E. Williams, can be reached on (571) 272-2208.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to 2800 Customer Service at (571) 272-2815.

Thomas P. Noland Primary Examiner Art Unit 2856

Hom Del

Aug. 18, 2005